

# Two questions on semigroup laws

O. Macedońska

August 17, 2013

## Abstract

B. H. Neumann recently proved some implication for semigroup laws in groups. This may help in solution of a problem posed by G. M. Bergman in 1981.

Let  $G$  be a group, and  $S \subseteq G$  be a subsemigroup generating  $G$ . It is clear that if  $S$  is commutative, then  $G$  is commutative. The following question is equivalent to the one posed by G. M. Bergman [2], [3].

**Question 1** Let  $S$  generating  $G$  satisfy a law. Must  $G$  satisfy the same law?

For some laws the answer is positive [9], [5], [8], [1], however in general the question is open and in opinion of S. V. Ivanov and E. Rips it has a negative answer. All semigroups we consider are cancellative.

**Question 2** Let a semigroup law  $a = b$  implies a semigroup law  $u = v$  in groups. Does the same implication hold in semigroups?

To show implication of laws in semigroups we can use only so-called positive endomorphisms, which map generators to positive words. It is shown in [8] (an example at the end of this paper), that all implications for positive laws of length  $\leq 5$  which hold in groups, also are valid for semigroups. The fact that the law  $x^2y^2x = yx^3y$  implies  $xy = yx$  in semigroups (and hence in groups) is proved in [5, p.132].

We show the equivalence of the above Questions.

It is shown in [10], that the law  $x^{s+t}y^2x^t = yx^{s+2t}y$ ,  $\gcd(s, t) = 1$ , implies  $xy^2x = yx^2y$  in groups (which is equivalent to  $[x, y, x] = 1$  [12]). So if

---

AMS subject classification: Primary 20E10; Secondary 20M07.

there exists a semigroup satisfying  $x^{s+t}y^2x^t = yx^{s+2t}y$ ,  $\gcd(s, t) = 1$ , but not  $xy^2x = yx^2y$ , the desired counterexample for Question 1 would be found.

Let  $a = a(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $b = b(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be positive words. A semigroup law  $a = b$  is called *balanced* if every  $x_i$  has the same exponent sum in  $a$  and  $b$ . The law is *trivial* if  $ab^{-1} = 1$  in  $F$ . The law is called *cancelled* if the first (and the last) letters in  $a$  and  $b$  are different.

### Notation

Let  $F$  be a free group and  $\mathcal{F} \ni 1$  be a free semigroup, both generated by  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$ . Words in  $\mathcal{F}$  are called positive. We denote:

$End^+$  – the set of positive endomorphisms which map  $x_i$  to positive words,

$N_w$  – a normal  $End^+$ -invariant closure of a word  $w$  in  $F$ ,

$End$  – the set of all endomorphisms of the free group  $F$ ,

$V_w$  – a fully invariant subgroup generated by a word  $w \in F$ ,

$(u, v)^\#$  – the smallest cancellative congruence in  $\mathcal{F}$  providing the law  $u = v$ .

A relatively free cancellative semigroup, defined by the law  $u = v$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}/(u, v)^\#$  [8].

We note that if  $N_w$  contains a positive word, say  $x^2yz^4$ , then it contains  $x^7$  and hence  $x^{-1} \in x^6N_w$  implies  $F = \mathcal{F} \text{ mod } N_w$ .

**Remark 1** *Since each semigroup with a non-balanced law is a group, we have to consider only balanced non-trivial semigroup laws. Each such a law implies a binary balanced and cancelled law  $A(x, y) = B(x, y)$  [6].*

### Questions and Results

To formulate the above Questions in terms of normal subgroups we need

**Lemma 1** *A semigroup law  $u = v$  implies  $a = b$  in semigroups if and only if  $N_{ab^{-1}} \subseteq N_{uv^{-1}}$ . The implication holds in groups if and only if  $V_{ab^{-1}} \subseteq V_{uv^{-1}}$ .*

**Proof** The law  $u = v$  implies  $a = b$  in semigroups if and only if corresponding smallest congruences satisfy  $(a, b)^\# \subseteq (u, v)^\#$ . If we map  $F \rightarrow F/N$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is mapped onto  $\mathcal{F}/N^\#$ , where  $N^\#$  is a cancellative congruence in  $\mathcal{F}$  defined as:  $N^\# = \{(s, t); st^{-1} \in N \cap \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\}$ . It is proved in [7], Thm. 2, that  $N := N_{uv^{-1}}$  is a smallest normal subgroup such that  $N^\# = (u, v)^\#$ . So we have

$$(u, v)^\# = \{(s, t); st^{-1} \in N_{uv^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\}. \quad (1)$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}/(u, v)^\#$  is embeddable into a group  $F/N_{uv^{-1}}$ , and  $N_{uv^{-1}}$  is the smallest normal subgroup with this property, it follows by [4], 12.3, that

$$N_{uv^{-1}} = \text{gpn}(st^{-1}; (s, t) \in (u, v)^\#). \quad (2)$$

Hence by (1), (2):  $(a, b)^\# \subseteq (u, v)^\#$  if and only if  $N_{ab^{-1}} \subseteq N_{uv^{-1}}$ , which gives the first statement of the Lemma. The second statement is known [11].  $\square$

In terms of normal subgroups the above Questions are:

**Question 1'** Does  $N_{ab^{-1}} = V_{ab^{-1}}$  hold for each semigroup law  $a = b$ ?

**Question 2'** Does  $V_{ab^{-1}} \subseteq V_{uv^{-1}}$  imply  $N_{ab^{-1}} \subseteq N_{uv^{-1}}$  for semigroup laws  $a = b$  and  $u = v$ ?

We shall prove that for each semigroup law  $a = b$  there exists a semigroup law  $u = v$  such that the fully invariant closure of  $ab^{-1}$  coincides with the  $\text{End}^+$ -invariant normal closure of  $uv^{-1}$ . This will imply the equivalence of the Questions.

**Theorem** *For every  $n$ -variable semigroup law  $a = b$  there exists an  $n + 1$ -variable semigroup law  $u = v$  such that  $V_{ab^{-1}} = N_{uv^{-1}}$ .*

**Corollary** *The Questions 1 and 2 are equivalent.*

**Proof** We have to show that for each semigroup law  $a = b$  the equality holds:  $N_{ab^{-1}} = V_{ab^{-1}}$ . Take  $u = v$  as in the Theorem, then  $V_{ab^{-1}} \stackrel{\text{T}}{=} N_{uv^{-1}}$ . By taking the fully invariant closure we get  $V_{ab^{-1}} = V_{uv^{-1}}$ . If Question 2 has a positive answer then we have  $N_{ab^{-1}} = N_{uv^{-1}} \stackrel{\text{T}}{=} V_{ab^{-1}}$ , as required.  $\square$

### Lemmas and Proof of the Theorem

**Lemma 2** *Let  $A(x, y) = B(x, y)$  be a balanced and cancelled semigroup law and the first letter in  $A(x, y)$  is  $x$ . Then there exist  $a_i = a_i(x, y)$ ,  $b_i = b_i(x, y) \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that*

$$(i) \quad x^{-1}y = a_1 b_1^{-1} \cdot (A^{-1}B)^{b_1^{-1}}, \quad (ii) \quad xy^{-1} = a_2^{-1} b_2 \cdot (AB^{-1})^{\varepsilon b_2}, \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1,$$

$$(iii) \quad F = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}N_{AB^{-1}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}N_{AB^{-1}}.$$

**Proof** Since the law  $A = B$  is cancelled, it can be written as  $x \cdot a_1 = y \cdot b_1$ , which gives  $A^{-1}B = a_1^{-1}x^{-1}yb_1$  and hence (i). The law  $A = B$  (or  $B = A$ ) can be written as  $a_2 \cdot x = b_2 \cdot y$ . In the first case  $AB^{-1} = a_2xy^{-1}b_2$  gives  $xy^{-1} = a_2^{-1}b_2 \cdot (AB^{-1})^{b_2}$ . If  $B = a_2 \cdot x$ ,  $A = b_2 \cdot y$ , then  $xy^{-1} = a_2^{-1}b_2 \cdot (AB^{-1})^{-b_2}$ , which gives (ii).

Since  $(A^{-1}B) = (AB^{-1})^{B^{-1}} \in N_{AB^{-1}}$ , we get from (i), that  $x^{-1}y \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1} \bmod N_{AB^{-1}}$ , which holds under every substitution elements from  $\mathcal{F}$  for  $x$  and  $y$ . Since every word in  $F$  is a product of words in  $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ , we get  $F = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}N_{AB^{-1}}$ . Similarly, from (ii) we get  $F = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}N_{AB^{-1}}$ .  $\square$

The following Lemma is well known in terms of a group of fractions and Ore conditions.

**Lemma 3** *Let  $a = b$  be a nontrivial semigroup law, and  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$  be elements in  $F$ . Then there exist elements  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  and  $d$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $g_i = s_i d^{-1} \bmod N_{ab^{-1}}$ .*

**Proof** By [6], the law  $a = b$  implies balanced and cancelled binary law  $A = B$ . Since  $N_{AB^{-1}} \subseteq N_{ab^{-1}}$ , the inclusions in Lemma 2 are valid  $\bmod N_{ab^{-1}}$ . Then by (iii) we have *modulo*  $N_{ab^{-1}}$ :  $g_i = a_i b_i^{-1}$  for some  $a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{F}$ . For  $n = 2$ ,  $g_1 = a_1 b_1^{-1}$ ,  $g_2 = a_2 b_2^{-1}$ . Also by (iii), there exist  $c, d$  such that  $b_2^{-1} b_1 = cd^{-1}$ . We introduce  $r := b_1 d = b_2 c$ , then  $g_1 = a_1 b_1^{-1} = a_1 d d^{-1} b_1^{-1} = a_1 d r^{-1} =: s r^{-1}$ ,  $g_2 = a_2 b_2^{-1} = a_2 c c^{-1} b_2^{-1} = a_2 c r^{-1} =: t r^{-1}$ ,  $s, t, r \in \mathcal{F}$ . So, by repeating this step we can write  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  with a "common denominator"  $\bmod N_{ab^{-1}}$  as required.  $\square$

To compare  $End^+$ -invariant and  $End$ -invariant closures of words we make an observation that by positive endomorphisms we can map  $xy^{-1}$  into any word  $g \in F \bmod N_{ab^{-1}}$  if write  $g = st^{-1}$  and map  $x$  to  $s$ , and  $y$  to  $t$ .

**Lemma 4** *There exists an automorphism  $\alpha \in Aut F$  such that for any  $w \in F$ ,  $N_{w^\alpha}$  is fully invariant  $\bmod N_{ab^{-1}}$ , for any nontrivial  $ab^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ . That is  $V_w \subseteq N_{w^\alpha} N_{ab^{-1}}$ .*

**Proof** Let  $w = w(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . We take  $\alpha \in Aut F$  which maps  $x_i \rightarrow x_i x_{n+1}^{-1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and leaves  $x_i$ ,  $i > n$ , fixed. It is enough to show that for any  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  in  $F$ ,  $w(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in N_{w^\alpha} N_{ab^{-1}}$ . By Lemma 3, we write  $g_i = s_i d^{-1} \bmod N_{ab^{-1}}$  and define  $\nu \in End^+$  by  $x_i^\nu = s_i$ ,  $i \leq n$ , and  $x_{n+1}^\nu = d$ . Then *modulo*  $N_{ab^{-1}}$  we have  $(x_i x_{n+1}^{-1})^\nu = g_i$  and  $w(g_1, \dots, g_n) = w(x_1 x_{n+1}^{-1}, \dots, x_n x_{n+1}^{-1})^\nu = (w(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\alpha)^\nu \in N_{w^\alpha}^\nu \subseteq N_{w^\alpha}$ , as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 1** *For a nontrivial semigroup law  $a = b$  the equality holds*

$$V_{ab^{-1}} = N_{(ab^{-1})^\alpha}.$$

**Proof** We have  $ab^{-1} \in N_{(ab^{-1})\alpha}^{\alpha^{-1}}$ . Since  $\alpha^{-1}$  is in  $End^+$ , then  $N_{(ab^{-1})\alpha}^{\alpha^{-1}} \subseteq N_{(ab^{-1})\alpha}$  and hence  $ab^{-1} \in N_{(ab^{-1})\alpha}$ , which gives

$$N_{ab^{-1}} \subseteq N_{(ab^{-1})\alpha}. \quad (3)$$

By Lemma 4 for  $w := ab^{-1}$ , by (3), and since  $End^+ \subseteq End$ , we have:

$$V_{ab^{-1}} \subseteq N_{(ab^{-1})\alpha} N_{ab^{-1}} = N_{(ab^{-1})\alpha} \subseteq V_{ab^{-1}},$$

which implies  $V_{ab^{-1}} = N_{(ab^{-1})\alpha}$ .  $\square$

We denote by  $\delta$  the endomorphism which maps  $x_{n+1} \rightarrow 1$  and leaves other generators fixed, then  $\delta \in End^+$ . As above,  $\alpha \in Aut F$  maps  $x_i \rightarrow x_i x_{n+1}^{-1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and leaves  $x_i$ ,  $i > n$ , fixed.

**Lemma 5** *Let  $a = b$  be a nontrivial semigroup law, and  $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}$  be a free sub-semigroup generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$ . Then for any positive word  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , there exist positive words  $u_i = u_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ ,  $v_i = v_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $p^\alpha = u_1 v_1^{-1} = u_2^{-1} v_2 \text{ mod } (N_{ab^{-1}} \cap Ker \delta)$ .*

**Proof** We show first that for any words  $c, q \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$  the inclusion hold:

$$(*) \quad cx_{n+1}^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \text{ mod } (N_{ab^{-1}} \cap Ker \delta),$$

$$(**) \quad x_{n+1}^{-1} q \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^{-1} \text{ mod } (N_{ab^{-1}} \cap Ker \delta).$$

The law  $a = b$  implies balanced and cancelled binary law  $A = B$ , so it is enough to prove the inclusions for the law  $A(x, y) = B(x, y)$ .

If apply  $\delta$  to the balanced equality  $A(c, x_{n+1}) = B(c, x_{n+1})$ , it becomes trivial, and hence the word  $AB^{-1}(c, x_{n+1})$  is in  $Ker \delta$ . Similarly we get  $A^{-1}B(x_{n+1}, q) \in Ker \delta$ . We put now  $c, x_{n+1}$  for  $x, y$  in (ii) (Lemma 2) to get (\*), and then put  $x_{n+1}, q$  in (i) (Lemma 2) to get (\*\*).

We continue the proof *modulo*  $(N_{ab^{-1}} \cap Ker \delta)$ . To show that:  
 $p(x_1 x_{n+1}^{-1}, \dots, x_n x_{n+1}^{-1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^{-1}$ , and  $p(x_1 x_{n+1}^{-1}, \dots, x_n x_{n+1}^{-1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ ,  
we use induction on the length  $|p| = m$ . Let  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c_m c_{m-1} \dots c_2 c_1$ ,  $c_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , then  $p^\alpha = c_m x_{n+1}^{-1} c_{m-1} x_{n+1}^{-1} \dots c_2 x_{n+1}^{-1} c_1 x_{n+1}^{-1}$ . For  $m = 1$ ,  $p^\alpha = cx_{n+1}^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^{-1}$  and by (\*),  $p^\alpha = cx_{n+1}^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ .

Let  $|p| = m$ , then  $p = c_m c_{m-1} \dots c_2 c_1$  and by inductive assumption  $p^\alpha = c_m x_{n+1}^{-1} \cdot qr^{-1}$ . Then by (\*\*), there exist  $s, t \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ , such that  $x_{n+1}^{-1} q = st^{-1}$  and hence  $p^\alpha = c_m (x_{n+1}^{-1} q) r^{-1} = c_m (st^{-1}) r^{-1} = (c_m s) (rt)^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^{-1}$ .

Again for  $|p|=m$ , we get by assumption  $p^\alpha = r^{-1}s \cdot c_1 x_{n+1}^{-1} = r^{-1}(sc_1)x_{n+1}^{-1}$ . By (\*) for  $sc_1$  instead of  $c$ , there exist  $t, u \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ , such that  $sc_1 x_{n+1}^{-1} = t^{-1}u$ . Then  $p^\alpha = r^{-1}(sc_1)x_{n+1}^{-1} = r^{-1}t^{-1}u = (tr)^{-1}u \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_{n+1}$  as required.  $\square$

### Proof of the Theorem

We have to show that for every nontrivial  $n$ -variable semigroup law  $a = b$  there exists an  $n + 1$ -variable semigroup law  $u = v$  such that  $V_{ab^{-1}} = N_{uv^{-1}}$ .

By Lemma 5 for the words  $a = a(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $b = b(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  we get respectively:  $a^\alpha = u_1 v_1^{-1} \text{ mod } (N_{ab^{-1}} \cap \text{Ker}\delta)$ , and  $b^\alpha = u_2^{-1} v_2 \text{ mod } (N_{ab^{-1}} \cap \text{Ker}\delta)$ . Then  $(ab^{-1})^\alpha = u_1 v_1^{-1} v_2^{-1} u_2 = u_2^{-1} (u_2 u_1) (v_2 v_1)^{-1} u_2 \text{ mod } (N_{ab^{-1}} \cap \text{Ker}\delta)$ . We denote  $u := u_2 u_1$ ,  $v := v_2 v_1$ , then

$$(ab^{-1})^\alpha = (uv^{-1})^{u_2} \text{ mod } (N_{ab^{-1}} \cap \text{Ker}\delta) \quad (4)$$

This implies:

$$N_{(ab^{-1})^\alpha} \subseteq N_{uv^{-1}} N_{ab^{-1}} \quad (5)$$

and

$$N_{uv^{-1}} \subseteq N_{(ab^{-1})^\alpha} N_{ab^{-1}}. \quad (6)$$

To prove the equality

$$N_{(ab^{-1})^\alpha} = N_{uv^{-1}}, \quad (7)$$

we apply  $\delta$  to (4). Since  $\alpha\delta$  is the identity map on  $x_i$ ,  $i \leq n$ , and  $\delta$  is in  $\text{End}^+$ , we have that  $ab^{-1} = (ab^{-1})^{\alpha\delta}$  is conjugate to  $(uv^{-1})^\delta \in N_{uv^{-1}}^\delta \subseteq N_{uv^{-1}}$ . This implies  $N_{ab^{-1}} \subseteq N_{uv^{-1}}$  which, together with (5) gives  $N_{(ab^{-1})^\alpha} \subseteq N_{uv^{-1}}$ . Since by (3),  $N_{ab^{-1}} \subseteq N_{(ab^{-1})^\alpha}$ , it follows from (6), that  $N_{uv^{-1}} \subseteq N_{(ab^{-1})^\alpha}$ , and hence (7) holds.

Now, since by Corollary 1,  $V_{ab^{-1}} = N_{(ab^{-1})^\alpha}$ , we have by (7), the required equality  $V_{ab^{-1}} = N_{uv^{-1}}$ .  $\square$

### Example of implications in semigroups [8]

The law  $(xy)^2 = (yx)^2$  implies  $xy^2 = y^2x$  for groups because we can apply the automorphism  $\alpha : x \rightarrow x, y \rightarrow x^{-1}y$ . For semigroups we can not use this automorphism. To prove that  $(xy)^2 = (yx)^2$  implies  $xy^2 = y^2x$  for semigroups we show first that  $(xy)^2 = (yx)^2$  implies:

- |       |                                |   |
|-------|--------------------------------|---|
| (i)   | $(yx)^2y = y(yx)^2,$           | (use the word $y(xy)^2$ ),                                  |
| (ii)  | $x((yx)^2y)^2 = ((yx)^2y)^2x,$ | (use (i) $^\alpha$ , $x^\alpha = xyx^2$ , $y^\alpha = y$ ), |
| (iii) | $((yx)^2y)^2 = (yx)^4y^2,$     | (use $((yx)^2y)((xy)^2y)$ ),                                |
| (iv)  | $(yx)^4 = (xy)^4.$             |   |

Then for some word  $p$  we start with  $p \cdot xy^2$  and by using (i) - (iv) obtain  $p \cdot y^2x$ , which by cancellation, implies required  $xy^2 = y^2x$ .

Namely, for  $p = (xy)^4$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} pxy^2 &= (xy)^4xy^2 = x(yx)^2(yx)^2yy \stackrel{(i)}{=} x(yx)^2y(yx)^2y = \\ &x((yx)^2y)^2 \stackrel{(ii)}{=} ((yx)^2y)^2x \stackrel{(iii)}{=} (yx)^4y^2x \stackrel{(iv)}{=} (xy)^4y^2x = py^2x, \end{aligned}$$

which gives  $pxy^2 = py^2x$  and hence  $xy^2 = y^2x$  as required.

## References

- [1] Robert G. Burns, Olga Macedońska, Yuri Medvedev, *Groups Satisfying Semigroup Laws, and Nilpotent-by-Burnside Varieties*, Journal of Algebra **195**, (1997), 510–525.
- [2] G. M. Bergman, *Hiperidentities of groups and semigroups*, Aequat. Math. **23**, (1981), 55–65.
- [3] G. M. Bergman, *Questions in algebra*, Preprint, Berkeley, U.C. 1986.
- [4] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Math. Surveys **7**, AMS, 1964.
- [5] J. Krempa, O. Macedońska, *On identities of cancellative semigroups*, Contemporary Mathematics **131**, (1992), 125–133.
- [6] Jacques Lewin and Tekla Lewin, *Semigroup laws in varieties of soluble groups*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **65**, (1969), 1–9.
- [7] O. Macedońska, *On cancellative congruences for semigroups*, Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Slaskiej **84**, (1999), 171–176.
- [8] O. Macedońska, M. Żabka, *On equivalence of semigroup identities*, Mathematica Scandinavica **88** (2), (2001), 161–181.

- [9] A. I. Malcev, *Nilpotent semigroups*, Uchen. Zap. Ivanovsk. Ped. Inst. **4**, (1953), 107–111.
- [10] B. H. Neumann, *Some Semigroup Laws in Groups*, Canad. Math. Bull. **44** (1), (2001), 93–96.
- [11] Hanna Neumann, *Varieties of groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1967.
- [12] A. I. Shirshov, *On some positively defined varieties of groups*, Sib. Mat. J. (1959), 165–178.

Institute of Mathematics,  
Silesian Technical University,  
ul. Kaszubska 23,  
44-100 Gliwice, Poland  
e-mail: olga@zeus.polsl.gliwice.pl